Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/018,871	SANDBERG, ELINA	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Daniel S. Metzmaier	1712	

All Participants:

(1) *Daniel S. Metzmaier*.

(2) *Ralph J. Mancini*.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: *19 March 2004*

Time: *11:10 AM*

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none.

Claims discussed:

none.

Prior art documents discussed:

WO 01/00605.

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicants' representative authorized examiner's amendment to insert the abstract. Discussed Elina Sandberg as the sole inventor and noted related WO 01/00605 as having a common inventor.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

_____ (Examiner/SPE Signature)

_____ (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)